

Minimal Spanning Tree

CS60002: Distributed Systems



Pallab Dasgupta

Dept. of Computer Sc. & Engg.,

Indian Institute of Technology Kharagpur

Leader Election versus Spanning Tree

- Let C_E be message complexity of the election problem and C_T be the message complexity of finding a spanning tree
- Given a spanning tree, we can run election algorithm on tree to find a leader in $O(N)$ time
 - Thus: $C_E \leq C_T + O(N)$
- Given a leader, we can run the echo algorithm to find a spanning tree with $2|E|$ messages
 - Thus: $C_T \leq C_E + 2|E|$
- Any comparison election algorithm for arbitrary networks has a (worst case and average case) message complexity of at least $\Omega(|E| + N \log N)$
- Therefore the two problems are of the same order of magnitude

Minimal Spanning Tree

- Let $G = (V, E)$ be a weighted graph, where $\omega(e)$ denotes the weight of edge e .
 - The weight of a spanning tree T of G equals the sum of the weights of the $N - 1$ edges contained in T
 - T is called a *minimal spanning tree* if no spanning tree has a smaller weight than T .
- *If all edge weights are different, there is only one MST*

The notion of a *fragment*

- A fragment is a subtree of a MST
- If F is a fragment and e is the least-weight outgoing edge of F , then $F \cup \{e\}$ is a fragment
- Prim's Algorithm:
 - Start with a single fragment and enlarges it in each step with the lowest-weight outgoing edge of the current fragment
- Kruskal's Algorithm:
 - Starts with a collection of single-node fragments and merges fragments by adding the lowest-weight outgoing edge of some fragment

Gallager-Humblet-Spira Algorithm

- Distributed algorithm based on Kruskal's algorithm
- Assumptions:
 - Each edge e has a unique edge weight $\omega(e)$
 - All nodes though initially asleep awaken before they start the execution of the algorithm. When a process is woken up by a message, it first executes the local initialization procedure, then processes the message

Gallager-Humblet-Spira Algorithm

- Algorithm Outline:
 - 1) Start with each node as a one-node fragment
 - 2) The nodes in a fragment cooperate to find the lowest-weight outgoing edge of the fragment
 - 3) When the lowest-weight outgoing edge of a fragment is known, the fragment will be combined with another fragment by adding the outgoing edge, in cooperation with the other fragment
 - 4) The algorithm terminates when only one fragment remains

Gallager-Humblet-Spira Algorithm

- Notations and Definitions:

- 1) ***Fragment name.*** To determine whether an edge is an outgoing edge, we need to give each fragment a name.
- 2) ***Fragment levels.*** Each fragment is assigned a *level*, which is initially 0 for an initial one-node fragment.
- 3) ***Combining large and small level fragments.*** The smaller level fragment combines into the larger level fragment by adopting the fragment name and level of the larger level fragment. Fragments of the same level combine to form a fragment of a level which is one higher than the two fragments. The new name is the weight of the combining edge, which is called the *core edge* of the new fragment.

Gallager-Humblet-Spira Algorithm

- Summary of combining strategy: A fragment F with name FN and level L is denoted as $F = (FN, L)$; let e_F denote the lowest-weight outgoing edge of F .
 - **Rule A.** If e_F leads to a fragment $F' = (FN', L')$ with $L < L'$, F combined into F' , after which the new fragment has name FN' and level F' . These new values are sent to all processes in F
 - **Rule B.** If e_F leads to a fragment $F' = (FN', L')$ with $L = L'$ and $e_{F'} = e_F$, the two fragments combine into a new fragment with level $L+1$ and name $\omega(e_F)$. These new values are sent to all processes in F and F' .
 - **Rule C.** In all other cases fragment F must wait until rule A or B applies

Gallager-Humblet-Spira Algorithm

- Node and link status:
 - **stach_p[q]**: Node p maintains the status of the edge pq .
 - The status is *branch* if the edge is known to be in the MST, *reject* if the edge is known not to be in the MST, and *basic* otherwise.
 - **father_p**: For each process p in the fragment, $father_p$ is the edge leading to the core edge of the fragment.
 - **state_p**: State of node p is *find* if p is currently engaged in the fragment's search for the lowest-weight outgoing edge and *found* otherwise. Initially it is in state *sleep*.

GHS Algorithm: Part-1

var $state_p$: (*sleep, find, found*) ;

$statch_p[q]$: (*basic, branch, reject*) for each $q \in Neigh_p$;

$name_p, bestwt_p$: real ;

$level_p$: integer ;

$testch_p, bestch_p, father_p$: $Neigh_p$;

rec_p : integer;

(1) As the first action of each process, the algorithm must be initialized:

begin let pq be the channel of p with smallest weight ;

$statch_p[q] := branch$; $level_p := 0$;

$state_p := found$; $rec_p := 0$;

send $\langle connect, 0 \rangle$ to q

end

GHS Algorithm: Part-1

(2) Upon receipt of $\langle \text{connect}, L \rangle$ from q :

begin if $L < level_p$ then (*** Combine with rule A ***)

begin $statch_p[q] := branch$;

send $\langle \text{initiate}, level_p, name_p, state_p \rangle$ to q

end

else if $statch_p[q] = basic$

then (*** Rule C ***) process the message later

else (*** Rule B ***)

send $\langle \text{initiate}, level_p + 1, \omega(pq), find \rangle$ to q

end

GHS Algorithm: Part-1

(3) Upon receipt of $\langle \text{initiate}, L, F, S \rangle$ from q :

begin $level_p := L$; $name_p := F$; $state_p := S$; $father_p := q$;

$bestch_p := \text{undef}$; $bestwt_p := \infty$;

forall $r \in Neigh_p$: $statch_p[r] = \text{branch} \wedge r \neq q$ do

send $\langle \text{initiate}, L, F, S \rangle$ to r ;

if $state_p = \text{find}$ then begin $rec_p := 0$; test end

end

Testing the edges

- To find its lowest-weight outgoing edge, node p inspects its outgoing edges in increasing order of weight.
- To inspect edge pq , p sends a $\langle \text{test}, level_p, name_p \rangle$ message to q and waits for an answer
 - A $\langle \text{reject} \rangle$ message is sent by process q if q finds that p 's fragment name is the same as q 's fragment name. On receiving the $\langle \text{reject} \rangle$ message, p continues its local search.
 - If the fragment name differs q sends an $\langle \text{accept} \rangle$ message.
 - The processing of a $\langle \text{test}, L, F \rangle$ message is deferred by q if $L > level_q$ because p and q may actually belong to the same fragment, but the $\langle \text{initiate}, L, F, S \rangle$ message has not yet reached q

A simple optimization

- To inspect edge pq , p sends a $\langle \text{test}, \text{level}_p, \text{name}_p \rangle$ message to q and waits for an answer
 - A $\langle \text{reject} \rangle$ message is sent by process q if q finds that p 's fragment name is the same as q 's fragment name.
 - If the edge pq was just used by q to send a $\langle \text{test}, L, F \rangle$ message then p will know (in a symmetrical way) that the edge pq is to be rejected. In this case, the $\langle \text{reject} \rangle$ message need not be sent by q .

GHS Algorithm: Part-2

(4) procedure *test*

begin if $\exists q \in Neigh_p : stach_p[q] = basic$ then

begin *testch_p* := *q* with

stach_p[q] = basic and $\omega(pq)$ minimal ;

send $\langle test, level_p, name_p \rangle$ to *testch_p*

end

else begin *testch_p* := *undef* ; report end

end

GHS Algorithm: Part-2

(5) Upon receipt of $\langle \text{test}, L, F \rangle$ from q :

begin if $L > level_p$ then (*** Answer must wait ***)

process the message later

else if $F = name_p$ then (*** internal edge ***)

begin if $stach_p[q] = basic$ then $stach_p[q] := reject$;

if $q \neq testch_p$

then send $\langle reject \rangle$ to q

else *test*

end

else send $\langle accept \rangle$ to q

end

GHS Algorithm: Part-2

(6) Upon receipt of $\langle \text{accept} \rangle$ from q :

```
begin  $testch_p := undef$  ;  
    if  $\omega(pq) < best_p$   
        then begin  $bestwt_p := \omega(pq)$  ;  
                 $bestch_p := q$   
            end ;  
    report  
end
```

(7) Upon receipt of $\langle \text{reject} \rangle$ from q :

```
begin if  $stach_p[q] = basic$  then  $stach_p[q] := reject$  ;  
    test  
end
```

Reporting the lowest-weight outgoing edge

- The lowest-weight outgoing edge is reported for each subtree using $\langle \text{report}, \omega \rangle$ messages
 - Node p counts the number of $\langle \text{report}, \omega \rangle$ messages it receives, using the variable rec_p .
- The $\langle \text{report}, \omega \rangle$ messages are sent in the direction of the core edge by each process.
 - The messages of the two core nodes cross on the edge; both receive the message from their father
 - When this happens, the algorithm terminates if no outgoing edge was reported. Otherwise a $\langle \text{connect}, L \rangle$ message must be sent through this edge.

Reorientation of the tree

- If an outgoing edge was reported, the lowest-weight outgoing edge is found by following the *bestch* pointer in each node, starting from the core node on whose side the best edge was reported
- A $\langle \text{connect}, L \rangle$ message must be sent through this edge, and all *father* pointers in the fragment must point in this direction
 - This is done by sending a $\langle \text{changeroot} \rangle$ message.
 - When the $\langle \text{changeroot} \rangle$ message arrives at the node incident to the lowest-weight outgoing edge, this node sends a $\langle \text{connect}, L \rangle$ message via the lowest-weight outgoing edge.

GHS Algorithm: Part-3

(8) procedure *report*:

begin if $rec_p = \#\{ q : stach_p[q] = branch \wedge q \neq father_p \}$

and $testch_p = undef$ then

begin $state_p := found$;

send $\langle report, bestwt_p \rangle$ to $father_p$

end

end

GHS Algorithm: Part-3

```
(9) Upon receipt of  $\langle \text{report}, \omega \rangle$  from  $q$ :  
  begin if  $q \neq \text{father}_p$   
    then (* reply for initiate message *)  
      begin if  $\omega < \text{bestwt}_p$  then  
        begin  $\text{bestwt}_p := \omega$  ;  $\text{bestch}_p := q$  end ;  
         $\text{rec}_p := \text{rec}_p + 1$  ; report  
      end  
    else (*  $pq$  is the core edge *)  
      if  $\text{state}_p = \text{find}$   
        then process this message later  
      else if  $\omega > \text{bestwt}_p$   
        then changeroot  
      else if  $\omega = \text{bestwt}_p = \infty$  then stop  
    end  
  end
```

GHS Algorithm: Part-3

- (10) procedure *changeroot*:
- begin if $stach_p[bestch_p] = branch$
 - then send $\langle changeroot \rangle$ to $bestch_p$
 - else begin send $\langle connect, level_p \rangle$ to $bestch_p$;
 - $stach_p[bestch_p] := branch$
 - end
 - end
- end
- (11) Upon receipt of $\langle changeroot \rangle$:
- begin *changeroot* end

Complexity

The Gallager-Humblet-Spira algorithm computes the minimal spanning tree using at most $5N \log N + 2|E|$ messages

- Each edge is rejected at most once and this requires two messages (test and reject). This accounts for at most $2|E|$ messages.**
- At any level, a node receives at most one initiate and one accept message, and sends at most one report, one changeroot *or* connect message, and one test message not leading to a rejection. For $\log N$ levels, this accounts for a total of $5N \log N$ messages.**