GraphPLAN and SATPlan

Course: CS40022 Instructor: Dr. Pallab Dasgupta



Department of Computer Science & Engineering Indian Institute of Technology Kharagpur

Planning Graphs

- Consists of a sequence of levels that correspond to time steps in the plan
- Each level contains a set of actions and a set of literals that *could* be true at that time step depending on the actions taken in previous time steps
- For every +ve and –ve literal C, we add a persistence action with precondition C and effect C

Example

Start:Have(Cake)Finish:Have(Cake) \land Eaten(Cake)

Op(ACTION: Eat(Cake), PRECOND: Have(Cake), EFFECT: Eaten(Cake) ∧ ¬Have(Cake))

Op(ACTION: Bake(Cake), PRECOND: ¬Have(Cake), EFFECT: Have(Cake))

Mutex Actions

Mutex relation between two actions if:

- Inconsistent effects one action negates an effect of the other
- Interference one of the effects of one action is the negation of a precondition of the other

 Competing needs – one of the preconditions of one action is mutually exclusive with a precondition of the other

Mutex Literals

Mutex relation between two literals if:

- One is the negation of the other, or
- Each possible pair of actions that could achieve the two literals is mutually exclusive (inconsistent support)

Function GraphPLAN(problem) *Il returns solution or failure* graph \leftarrow Initial-Planning-Graph(problem) goals ← Goals[problem] do if goals all non-mutex in last level of graph then do solution \leftarrow Extract-Solution(graph) if solution \neq failure then return solution

else if No-Solution-Possible (graph)

then return failure

graph

Expand-Graph(graph, problem)

Termination of GraphPLAN

Literals increase monotonically
 Actions increase monotonically
 Mutexes decrease monotonically

This guarantees the existence of a fixpoint

Planning with Propositional Logic

- The planning problem is translated into a CNF satisfiability problem
- The goal is asserted to hold at a time step T, and clauses are included for each time step up to T.
- If the clauses are satisfiable, then a plan is extracted by examining the actions that are true.
- Otherwise, we increment T and repeat

SATPlan

Function SATPlan(problem, T_{max}) // returns solution or failure

for T = 0 to T_{max} do *cnf, mapping* ← Trans-to-SAT(*problem*, T) *assignment* ← SAT-Solver(*cnf*) if *assignment* is not NULL then return Extract-Solution(*assignment, mapping*)

return failure

Modeling for SATPlan

Precondition Axioms Action occurrence requires the precondition to be satisfied Action exclusion Axioms Prevent simultaneous actions State constraints Generalization of exclusion axioms