Resolution Refutation Proofs

Course: CS40002 Instructor: Dr. Pallab Dasgupta



Department of Computer Science & Engineering Indian Institute of Technology Kharagpur

The basic steps

- Convert the set of rules and facts into clause form (conjunction of clauses)
- Insert the negation of the goal as another clause
- Use resolution to deduce a refutation

If a refutation is obtained, then the goal can be deduced from the set of facts and rules.

A formula is said to be in clause form if it is of the form:

 $\forall x_1 \; \forall x_2 \; \dots \; \forall x_n \; [C_1 \land C_2 \land \dots \land C_k]$

- All first-order logic formulas can be converted to clause form
- We shall demonstrate the conversion on the formula:

$$\forall x \{ p(x) \Rightarrow \exists z \{ \neg \forall y [q(x,y) \Rightarrow p(f(x_1))] \\ \land \forall y [q(x,y) \Rightarrow p(x)] \} \}$$

Step1: Take the existential closure and eliminate redundant quantifiers. This introduces ∃x₁ and eliminates ∃z, so:

 $\begin{aligned} \forall x \{ p(x) \Rightarrow \exists z \{ \neg \forall y [q(x,y) \Rightarrow p(f(x_1))] \\ & \land \forall y [q(x,y) \Rightarrow p(x)] \} \end{aligned}$

 $\exists x_1 \forall x \{ p(x) \Rightarrow \{ \neg \forall y [q(x,y) \Rightarrow p(f(x_1))] \\ \land \forall y [q(x,y) \Rightarrow p(x)] \} \}$

Step 2: Rename any variable that is quantified more than once. y has been quantified twice, so:

$$\exists x_1 \forall x \{ p(x) \Rightarrow \{ \neg \forall y [q(x,y) \Rightarrow p(f(x_1))] \\ \land \forall y [q(x,y) \Rightarrow p(x)] \} \}$$

 $\exists x_1 \forall x \{ p(x) \Rightarrow \{ \neg \forall y [q(x,y) \Rightarrow p(f(x_1))] \\ \land \forall z [q(x,z) \Rightarrow p(x)] \} \}$

Step 3: *Eliminate implication.*

$$\exists x_1 \forall x \{ p(x) \Longrightarrow \{ \neg \forall y [q(x,y) \Longrightarrow p(f(x_1))] \\ \land \forall z [q(x,z) \Longrightarrow p(x)] \} \}$$

 $\exists x_1 \forall x \{\neg p(x) \lor \{ \neg \forall y [\neg q(x,y) \lor p(f(x_1))] \\ \land \forall z [\neg q(x,z) \lor p(x)] \} \}$

Step 4: *Move* – *all the way inwards.*

$$\begin{array}{l} \exists x_1 \ \forall x \ \{\neg p(x) \lor \{ \ \neg \forall y \ [\neg q(x,y) \lor p(f(x_1))] \\ & \land \forall z \ [\neg q(x,z) \lor p(x)] \ \} \end{array} \end{array}$$

 $\begin{aligned} \exists x_1 \ \forall x \left\{ \neg p(x) \lor \left\{ \exists y \left[q(x,y) \land \neg p(f(x_1)) \right] \\ \land \forall z \left[\neg q(x,z) \lor p(x) \right] \right\} \right\} \end{aligned}$

Step 5: Push the quantifiers to the right.

 $\begin{aligned} \exists x_1 \ \forall x \left\{ \neg p(x) \lor \left\{ \exists y \left[q(x,y) \land \neg p(f(x_1)) \right] \right. \\ & \land \forall z \left[\neg q(x,z) \lor p(x) \right] \right\} \end{aligned}$

 $\exists x_1 \forall x \{ \neg p(x) \lor \{ [\exists y q(x,y) \land \neg p(f(x_1))] \\ \land [\forall z \neg q(x,z) \lor p(x)] \} \}$

Step 6: Eliminate existential quantifiers (Skolemization).

Pick out the leftmost ∃y B(y) and replace it by B(f(x_{i1}, x_{i2},..., x_{in})), where:
 a) x_{i1}, x_{i2},..., x_{in} are all the distinct free variables of ∃y B(y) that are universally quantified to the left of ∃y B(y), and
 b) F is any n-ary function constant which does not occur already

Skolemization:

 $\begin{aligned} \exists x_1 \ \forall x \left\{ \neg p(x) \lor \left\{ [\exists y \ q(x,y) \land \neg p(f(x_1))] \\ \land [\forall z \ \neg q(x,z) \lor p(x)] \right\} \right\} \end{aligned}$

 $\begin{aligned} \forall x \{ \neg p(x) \lor \{ [q(x,g(x)) \land \neg p(f(a))] \\ \land [\forall z \neg q(x,z) \lor p(x)] \} \} \end{aligned}$

Step 7: Move all universal quantifiers to the left

 $\begin{aligned} \forall x \{ \neg p(x) \lor \{ [q(x,g(x)) \land \neg p(f(a))] \\ \land [\forall z \neg q(x,z) \lor p(x)] \} \} \end{aligned}$

 $\forall x \forall z \{\neg p(x) \lor \{[q(x,g(x)) \land \neg p(f(a))] \\ \land [\neg q(x,z) \lor p(x)] \} \}$

■ Step 8: *Distribute* ∧ over ∨.

 $\begin{aligned} \forall x \ \forall z \ \{ [\neg p(x) \lor q(x,g(x))] \\ & \land [\neg p(x) \lor \neg p(f(a))] \\ & \land [\neg p(x) \lor \neg q(x,z) \lor p(x)] \ \end{aligned}$

Step 9: (Optional) Simplify

 $\forall x \{ [\neg p(x) \lor q(x,g(x))] \land \neg p(f(a)) \}$

Resolution

If Unify $(z_j, \neg q_k) = \theta$, then:

$$Z_{1} \lor \dots \lor Z_{m}, \quad q_{1} \lor \dots \lor q_{n}$$
SUBST($\theta, Z_{1} \lor \dots \lor Z_{i-1} \lor Z_{i+1} \lor \dots \lor Z_{m}$

$$\lor q_{1} \lor \dots \lor q_{k-1} \lor q_{k+1} \lor \dots \lor q_{n}$$
)

Example

- Harry, Ron and Draco are students of the Hogwarts school for wizards
- Every student is either wicked or is a good Quidditch player, or both
- No Quidditch player likes rain and all wicked students like potions
- Draco dislikes whatever Harry likes and likes whatever Harry dislikes
- Draco likes rain and potions
- Is there a student who is good in Quidditch but not in potions?

Resolution Strategies

Unit Resolution

- Every resolution step must involve a unit clause
- Leads to a good speedup
- Incomplete in general
- Complete for Horn knowledge bases

Resolution Strategies

Input Resolution

- Every resolution step must involve a a input sentence (from the query or the KB)
- In Horn knowledge bases, Modus Ponens is a kind of input resolution strategy
- Incomplete in general
- Complete for Horn knowledge bases

Resolution Strategies

Linear Resolution Slight generalization of input resolution Allows P and Q to be resolved together either if P is in the original KB, or if P is an ancestor of Q in the proof tree Linear resolution is complete