Information and Coding Theory MA41024/ MA60020/ MA60262

Bibhas Adhikari

Spring 2022-23, IIT Kharagpur

Lecture 5 January 17, 2023

Bibhas Adhikari (Spring 2022-23, IIT Kharag

Information and Coding Theory

Lecture 5 January 17, 2023 1/9

э

<日

<</p>



An information theoretic proof of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality¹

¹Ehud Friedgut, Hypergraphs, entropy, and inequalities, The American Mathematical Monthly 111 (2004), no. 9, 749–760. 6, 8

Bibhas Adhikari (Spring 2022-23, IIT Kharag

Information and Coding Theory

An information theoretic proof of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality¹

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i\right) \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2\right) \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2\right)$$

¹Ehud Friedgut, Hypergraphs, entropy, and inequalities, The American Mathematical Monthly 111 (2004), no. 9, 749–760. 6, 8

An information theoretic proof of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality¹

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i\right) \le \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2\right) \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2\right)$$

First observe that it is enough to prove it for natural numbers a_i, b_i .

¹Ehud Friedgut, Hypergraphs, entropy, and inequalities, The American Mathematical Monthly 111 (2004), no. 9, 749–760. 6, 8

An information theoretic proof of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality¹

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i\right) \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2\right) \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2\right)$$

First observe that it is enough to prove it for natural numbers a_i, b_i .

 \triangleright Consider disjoint subsets of natural numbers A_1, \ldots, A_n such that $|A_i| = a_i$

¹Ehud Friedgut, Hypergraphs, entropy, and inequalities, The American Mathematical Monthly 111 (2004), no. 9, 749–760. 6, 8

An information theoretic proof of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality¹

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i\right) \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2\right) \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2\right)$$

First observe that it is enough to prove it for natural numbers a_i, b_i .

- ▷ Consider disjoint subsets of natural numbers A_1, \ldots, A_n such that $|A_i| = a_i$
- \triangleright do the same, $|B_i| = b_i$

¹Ehud Friedgut, Hypergraphs, entropy, and inequalities, The American Mathematical Monthly 111 (2004), no. 9, 749–760. 6, 8

An information theoretic proof of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality¹

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i\right) \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2\right) \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2\right)$$

First observe that it is enough to prove it for natural numbers a_i, b_i .

- ▷ Consider disjoint subsets of natural numbers A_1, \ldots, A_n such that $|A_i| = a_i$
- \triangleright do the same, $|B_i| = b_i$
- Consider rectangles in the xy plane with x coordinates in the sets A_i and y coordinates in the sets B_i.

¹Ehud Friedgut, Hypergraphs, entropy, and inequalities, The American Mathematical Monthly 111 (2004), no. 9, 749–760. 6, 8

 \triangleright Pick two points (X_1, Y_1) and (X_2, Y_2) at random

3

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

▷ Pick two points (X_1, Y_1) and (X_2, Y_2) at random \triangledown Pick a rectangle *R* with probability proportional to its area i.e.

$$\mathbb{P}[R=r_i] = \frac{a_i \cdot b_i}{\sum_j a_j \cdot b_j}$$

where $r_i = A_i \times B_i$ is the rectangle with $a_i \cdot b_i$ points

- \triangleright Pick two points (X_1, Y_1) and (X_2, Y_2) at random
 - \triangledown Pick a rectangle R with probability proportional to its area i.e.

$$\mathbb{P}[R=r_i] = \frac{\mathsf{a}_i \cdot \mathsf{b}_i}{\sum_j \mathsf{a}_j \cdot \mathsf{b}_j}$$

A B M A B M

3/9

where $r_i = A_i \times B_i$ is the rectangle with $a_i \cdot b_i$ points

 \triangledown Pick two points (X_1, Y_1) and (X_2, Y_2) independently from *R* (uniform distribution)

- \triangleright Pick two points (X_1, Y_1) and (X_2, Y_2) at random
 - \triangledown Pick a rectangle *R* with probability proportional to its area i.e.

$$\mathbb{P}[R=r_i] = \frac{\mathsf{a}_i \cdot \mathsf{b}_i}{\sum_j \mathsf{a}_j \cdot \mathsf{b}_j}$$

where $r_i = A_i \times B_i$ is the rectangle with $a_i \cdot b_i$ points

- \triangledown Pick two points (X_1, Y_1) and (X_2, Y_2) independently from *R* (uniform distribution)
- ▷ since the sets are disjoint, specifying any of the variables X_1, Y_1, X_2, Y_2 reveals the rectangle R i.e. $H(X_1, Y_1, R) = H(X_2, Y_2, R) = H(X_1, Y_1) =$ $H(X_2, Y_2) = \frac{how}{\log(\sum_i a_i \cdot b_i)}$

Since given R, X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2 are independent, we have

$$H(X_1, Y_1, R) + H(X_2, Y_2, R)$$

= $2H(R) + H(X_1, Y_1|R) + H(X_2, Y_2|R)$

3

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

Since given R, X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2 are independent, we have

$$H(X_1, Y_1, R) + H(X_2, Y_2, R)$$

= $2H(R) + H(X_1, Y_1|R) + H(X_2, Y_2|R)$
= $2H(R) + H(X_1|R) + H(Y_1|R) + H(X_2|R) + H(Y_2|R)$

3

▶ < ∃ >

• • • • • • • • • •

Since given R, X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2 are independent, we have

$$H(X_1, Y_1, R) + H(X_2, Y_2, R)$$

$$= 2H(R) + H(X_1, Y_1|R) + H(X_2, Y_2|R)$$

$$= 2H(R) + H(X_1|R) + H(Y_1|R) + H(X_2|R) + H(Y_2|R)$$

$$= 2H(R) + H(X_1, X_2|R) + H(Y_1, Y_2|R)$$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

э

4/9

Since given R, X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2 are independent, we have

$$H(X_1, Y_1, R) + H(X_2, Y_2, R)$$

$$= 2H(R) + H(X_1, Y_1|R) + H(X_2, Y_2|R)$$

$$= 2H(R) + H(X_1|R) + H(Y_1|R) + H(X_2|R) + H(Y_2|R)$$

$$= 2H(R) + H(X_1, X_2|R) + H(Y_1, Y_2|R)$$

$$= H(X_1, X_2, R) + H(Y_1, Y_2, R)$$

3

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Since given R, X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2 are independent, we have

$$H(X_1, Y_1, R) + H(X_2, Y_2, R)$$

$$= 2H(R) + H(X_1, Y_1|R) + H(X_2, Y_2|R)$$

$$= 2H(R) + H(X_1|R) + H(Y_1|R) + H(X_2|R) + H(Y_2|R)$$

$$= 2H(R) + H(X_1, X_2|R) + H(Y_1, Y_2|R)$$

$$= H(X_1, X_2, R) + H(Y_1, Y_2, R)$$

$$= H(X_1, X_2) + H(Y_1, Y_2)$$

3

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

Since given R, X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2 are independent, we have

$$H(X_1, Y_1, R) + H(X_2, Y_2, R)$$

$$= 2H(R) + H(X_1, Y_1|R) + H(X_2, Y_2|R)$$

$$= 2H(R) + H(X_1|R) + H(Y_1|R) + H(X_2|R) + H(Y_2|R)$$

$$= 2H(R) + H(X_1, X_2|R) + H(Y_1, Y_2|R)$$

$$= H(X_1, X_2, R) + H(Y_1, Y_2, R)$$

$$= H(X_1, X_2) + H(Y_1, Y_2)$$

$$\leq \log\left(\sum_i a_i^2\right) + \log\left(\sum_i b_i^2\right)$$

3

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

The mutual information (MI) between two random variables X and Y is defined as

$$I(X;Y) = H(X) - H(X|Y)$$

э

∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>

Image: A math

The mutual information (MI) between two random variables X and Y is defined as

$$I(X;Y) = H(X) - H(X|Y)$$

Question What is the difference between correlation and MI?

The mutual information (MI) between two random variables X and Y is defined as

$$I(X;Y) = H(X) - H(X|Y)$$

Question What is the difference between correlation and MI? Example X represents the roll of a fair 6-sided die, and Y represents whether the roll is even (0 if even, 1 if odd)

The mutual information (MI) between two random variables X and Y is defined as

$$I(X;Y) = H(X) - H(X|Y)$$

Question What is the difference between correlation and MI? Example X represents the roll of a fair 6-sided die, and Y represents whether the roll is even (0 if even, 1 if odd)

$$I(X;Y) = H(X) - H(X|Y) = H(Y) - H(Y|X) = H(X) + H(Y) - H(X,Y)$$

The mutual information (MI) between two random variables X and Y is defined as

$$I(X;Y) = H(X) - H(X|Y)$$

Question What is the difference between correlation and MI? Example X represents the roll of a fair 6-sided die, and Y represents whether the roll is even (0 if even, 1 if odd)

$$I(X;Y) = H(X) - H(X|Y) = H(Y) - H(Y|X) = H(X) + H(Y) - H(X,Y)$$

Then (expanding the formula of entropy) $\rightarrow I(X; Y) \ge 0$

The mutual information (MI) between two random variables X and Y is defined as

$$I(X;Y) = H(X) - H(X|Y)$$

Question What is the difference between correlation and MI? Example X represents the roll of a fair 6-sided die, and Y represents whether the roll is even (0 if even, 1 if odd)

$$I(X;Y) = H(X) - H(X|Y) = H(Y) - H(Y|X) = H(X) + H(Y) - H(X,Y)$$

Then (expanding the formula of entropy)

$$\rightarrow I(X;Y) \ge 0 \rightarrow I(X;Y) = I(Y;X)$$

The mutual information (MI) between two random variables X and Y is defined as

$$I(X;Y) = H(X) - H(X|Y)$$

Question What is the difference between correlation and MI? Example X represents the roll of a fair 6-sided die, and Y represents whether the roll is even (0 if even, 1 if odd)

$$I(X;Y) = H(X) - H(X|Y) = H(Y) - H(Y|X) = H(X) + H(Y) - H(X,Y)$$

Then (expanding the formula of entropy)

$$\rightarrow I(X;Y) \ge 0 \rightarrow I(X;Y) = I(Y;X)$$

Homework Let X, Y be two variables with $X \lor Y = 1$, $X \in \{0, 1\}$, $Y \in \{0, 1\}$ such that (X, Y) = (1, 0), (X, Y) = (0, 1) and (X, Y) = (1, 1) with probabilities 1/3. Then calculate I(X; Y)

Conditional mutual information

$$I(X; Y|Z) = \mathbb{E}_Z[I(X|Z=z; Y|Z=z)]$$

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

э

Conditional mutual information

$$I(X; Y|Z) = \mathbb{E}_Z[I(X|Z=z; Y|Z=z)]$$

= $\mathbb{E}_Z[H(X|Z=z) - H(X|Y, Z=z)]$

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

э

Conditional mutual information

$$I(X; Y|Z) = \mathbb{E}_Z[I(X|Z=z; Y|Z=z)]$$

= $\mathbb{E}_Z[H(X|Z=z) - H(X|Y, Z=z)]$
= $H(X|Z) - H(X|Y, Z)$

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

э

Conditional mutual information

$$I(X; Y|Z) = \mathbb{E}_{Z}[I(X|Z=z; Y|Z=z)]$$

= $\mathbb{E}_{Z}[H(X|Z=z) - H(X|Y, Z=z)]$
= $H(X|Z) - H(X|Y, Z)$

Example Let (X, Y, Z) be a random variable with $Z = X \oplus Y$, $X \in \{0, 1\}$, $Y \in \{0, 1\}$ such that (X, Y, Z) = (x, y, z) are equally likely. Then check that I(X; Y) = 0 and

$$I(X; Y|Z) = \mathbb{E}_Z[I(X|Z=z); Y|Z=z]$$

Conditional mutual information

$$I(X; Y|Z) = \mathbb{E}_{Z}[I(X|Z=z; Y|Z=z)]$$

= $\mathbb{E}_{Z}[H(X|Z=z) - H(X|Y, Z=z)]$
= $H(X|Z) - H(X|Y, Z)$

Example Let (X, Y, Z) be a random variable with $Z = X \oplus Y$, $X \in \{0, 1\}$, $Y \in \{0, 1\}$ such that (X, Y, Z) = (x, y, z) are equally likely. Then check that I(X; Y) = 0 and

$$I(X; Y|Z) = \mathbb{E}_{Z}[I(X|Z=z); Y|Z=z]$$

= $\frac{1}{2}I(X|Z=0; Y|Z=0) + \frac{1}{2}I(X|Z=1; Y|Z=1)$

Conditional mutual information

$$I(X; Y|Z) = \mathbb{E}_{Z}[I(X|Z=z; Y|Z=z)]$$

= $\mathbb{E}_{Z}[H(X|Z=z) - H(X|Y, Z=z)]$
= $H(X|Z) - H(X|Y, Z)$

Example Let (X, Y, Z) be a random variable with $Z = X \oplus Y$, $X \in \{0, 1\}$, $Y \in \{0, 1\}$ such that (X, Y, Z) = (x, y, z) are equally likely. Then check that I(X; Y) = 0 and

$$I(X; Y|Z) = \mathbb{E}_{Z}[I(X|Z=z); Y|Z=z]$$

= $\frac{1}{2}I(X|Z=0; Y|Z=0) + \frac{1}{2}I(X|Z=1; Y|Z=1)$
= $\frac{1}{2}\log 2 + \frac{1}{2}\log 2 = 1$

Question What is the conclusion from the above example?

э

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

Question What is the conclusion from the above example? Chain rule of MI: $I((X_1, ..., X_m); Y) = \sum_{i=1}^m I(X_i; Y|X_1, ..., X_{i-1})$

3

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 >

Question What is the conclusion from the above example? Chain rule of MI: $I((X_1, ..., X_m); Y) = \sum_{i=1}^m I(X_i; Y|X_1, ..., X_{i-1})$ Proof

$$I((X_1,\ldots,X_m);Y) = H(X_1,\ldots,X_m|Y)$$

3

Question What is the conclusion from the above example? Chain rule of MI: $I((X_1, ..., X_m); Y) = \sum_{i=1}^m I(X_i; Y|X_1, ..., X_{i-1})$ Proof

$$I((X_1,...,X_m); Y) = H(X_1,...,X_m) - H(X_1,...,X_m|Y)$$

= $\sum_{i=1}^m H(X_i|X_1,...,X_{i-1}) - \sum_{i=1}^m H(X_i|Y,X_1,...,X_{i-1})$

3

Question What is the conclusion from the above example? Chain rule of MI: $I((X_1, ..., X_m); Y) = \sum_{i=1}^m I(X_i; Y|X_1, ..., X_{i-1})$ Proof

$$I((X_1, ..., X_m); Y)$$

$$= H(X_1, ..., X_m) - H(X_1, ..., X_m | Y)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^m H(X_i | X_1, ..., X_{i-1}) - \sum_{i=1}^m H(X_i | Y, X_1, ..., X_{i-1})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^m [H(X_i | X_1, ..., X_{i-1}) - H(X_i | Y, X_1, ..., X_{i-1})]$$

3

Question What is the conclusion from the above example? Chain rule of MI: $I((X_1, ..., X_m); Y) = \sum_{i=1}^m I(X_i; Y|X_1, ..., X_{i-1})$ Proof

$$I((X_{1},...,X_{m}); Y)$$

$$= H(X_{1},...,X_{m}) - H(X_{1},...,X_{m}|Y)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m} H(X_{i}|X_{1},...,X_{i-1}) - \sum_{i=1}^{m} H(X_{i}|Y,X_{1},...,X_{i-1})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m} [H(X_{i}|X_{1},...,X_{i-1}) - H(X_{i}|Y,X_{1},...,X_{i-1})]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m} I(X_{i};Y|X_{1},...,X_{i-1})$$

3

Markov chain (a memoryless process) An ordered tuple of random variables (X, Y, Z) is said to form a Markov chain if X and Z are independent conditioned on Y. In that case we write as $X \to Y \to Z$.

Markov chain (a memoryless process) An ordered tuple of random variables (X, Y, Z) is said to form a Markov chain if X and Z are independent conditioned on Y. In that case we write as $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z$.

Question If $X \to Y \to Z$ then $Z \to Y \to X$?

Markov chain (a memoryless process) An ordered tuple of random variables (X, Y, Z) is said to form a Markov chain if X and Z are independent conditioned on Y. In that case we write as $X \to Y \to Z$.

Question If $X \to Y \to Z$ then $Z \to Y \to X$?

Lemma Data Processing Inequality: Let $X \to Y \to Z$ be a Markov chain. Then $I(X; Y) \ge I(X; Z)$.

< 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

3

Markov chain (a memoryless process) An ordered tuple of random variables (X, Y, Z) is said to form a Markov chain if X and Z are independent conditioned on Y. In that case we write as $X \to Y \to Z$.

Question If
$$X \to Y \to Z$$
 then $Z \to Y \to X$?

Lemma Data Processing Inequality: Let $X \to Y \to Z$ be a Markov chain. Then $I(X; Y) \ge I(X; Z)$.

Proof Let Z = g(Y) for some g then obviously $X \to Y \to g(Y)$.

くぼう くほう くほう

3

Markov chain (a memoryless process) An ordered tuple of random variables (X, Y, Z) is said to form a Markov chain if X and Z are independent conditioned on Y. In that case we write as $X \to Y \to Z$.

Question If
$$X \to Y \to Z$$
 then $Z \to Y \to X$?

Lemma Data Processing Inequality: Let $X \to Y \to Z$ be a Markov chain. Then $I(X; Y) \ge I(X; Z)$.

Proof Let Z = g(Y) for some g then obviously $X \to Y \to g(Y)$.

$$I(X; Y) = H(X) - H(X|Y) = H(X) - H(X|Y,g(Y))$$

くぼう くほう くほう

3

Markov chain (a memoryless process) An ordered tuple of random variables (X, Y, Z) is said to form a Markov chain if X and Z are independent conditioned on Y. In that case we write as $X \to Y \to Z$.

Question If
$$X \to Y \to Z$$
 then $Z \to Y \to X$?

Lemma Data Processing Inequality: Let $X \to Y \to Z$ be a Markov chain. Then $I(X; Y) \ge I(X; Z)$.

Proof Let Z = g(Y) for some g then obviously $X \to Y \to g(Y)$.

$$I(X; Y) = H(X) - H(X|Y) = H(X) - H(X|Y, g(Y)) \geq H(X) - H(X|g(Y)) = I(X; g(Y))$$

3

くぼう くほう くほう

Markov chain (a memoryless process) An ordered tuple of random variables (X, Y, Z) is said to form a Markov chain if X and Z are independent conditioned on Y. In that case we write as $X \to Y \to Z$.

Question If
$$X \to Y \to Z$$
 then $Z \to Y \to X$?

Lemma Data Processing Inequality: Let $X \to Y \to Z$ be a Markov chain. Then $I(X; Y) \ge I(X; Z)$.

Proof Let Z = g(Y) for some g then obviously $X \to Y \to g(Y)$.

$$I(X;Y) = H(X) - H(X|Y) = H(X) - H(X|Y,g(Y))$$

$$\geq H(X) - H(X|g(Y)) = I(X;g(Y))$$

From the first line, I(X; Y) = I(X; (Y, g(Y))) = I(X; (Y, Z))

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

Markov chain (a memoryless process) An ordered tuple of random variables (X, Y, Z) is said to form a Markov chain if X and Z are independent conditioned on Y. In that case we write as $X \to Y \to Z$.

Question If
$$X \to Y \to Z$$
 then $Z \to Y \to X$?

Lemma Data Processing Inequality: Let $X \to Y \to Z$ be a Markov chain. Then $I(X; Y) \ge I(X; Z)$.

Proof Let Z = g(Y) for some g then obviously $X \to Y \to g(Y)$.

$$I(X; Y) = H(X) - H(X|Y) = H(X) - H(X|Y, g(Y))$$

$$\geq H(X) - H(X|g(Y)) = I(X; g(Y))$$

From the first line, I(X; Y) = I(X; (Y, g(Y))) = I(X; (Y, Z)) However, in general,

$$I(X; (Y, Z)) = I(X; Y) + I(X; Z|Y) = I(X; Y)$$

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Markov chain (a memoryless process) An ordered tuple of random variables (X, Y, Z) is said to form a Markov chain if X and Z are independent conditioned on Y. In that case we write as $X \to Y \to Z$.

Question If
$$X \to Y \to Z$$
 then $Z \to Y \to X$?

Lemma Data Processing Inequality: Let $X \to Y \to Z$ be a Markov chain. Then $I(X; Y) \ge I(X; Z)$.

Proof Let Z = g(Y) for some g then obviously $X \to Y \to g(Y)$.

$$I(X;Y) = H(X) - H(X|Y) = H(X) - H(X|Y,g(Y)) \geq H(X) - H(X|g(Y)) = I(X;g(Y))$$

From the first line, I(X; Y) = I(X; (Y, g(Y))) = I(X; (Y, Z)) However, in general,

$$I(X; (Y, Z)) = I(X; Y) + I(X; Z|Y) = I(X; Y)$$

Thus,

$$I(X;Y) = I(X;(Y,Z)) = H(X) - H(X|Y,Z) \ge H(X) - H(X|Z) = I(X;Z)$$

Question What is the context of this inequality in digital communication systems?

э

Question What is the context of this inequality in digital communication systems?

Sufficient statistic For rvs X and Y, a function g(Y) is called a sufficient statistic of Y for X if I(X; Y) = I(X; g(Y)) i.e. g(Y) contains all the relevant information about X

Question What is the context of this inequality in digital communication systems?

Sufficient statistic For rvs X and Y, a function g(Y) is called a sufficient statistic of Y for X if I(X; Y) = I(X; g(Y)) i.e. g(Y) contains all the relevant information about X

Example Let X take two values x_1 and x_2 with equal probability. Suppose Y is a sequence of n coin tosses with probability of heads given by X. Let g(Y) be the number of heads in Y. Then show that I(X; Y) = I(X; g(Y)).

- 本間下 本臣下 本臣下 三臣