Information and Coding Theory MA41024/ MA60020/ MA60262

Bibhas Adhikari

Spring 2022-23, IIT Kharagpur

Lecture 15 March 13, 2023

Bibhas Adhikari (Spring 2022-23, IIT Kharag

Information and Coding Theory

★ ∃ ► < ∃ ►</p> Lecture 15 March 13, 2023 1/5

< A I

3

In general, a code of block length n over an alphabet Σ is a subset of Σ^n . Set $q = |\Sigma|$. Then codewords are elements of Σ^n

 \rightarrow a codeword **v** = (v_1, \ldots, v_n) can also be described as a function $f: [n] \rightarrow \Sigma$ with $f(i) = v_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ and $[n] = \{1, \ldots, n\}$

In general, a code of block length *n* over an alphabet Σ is a subset of Σ^n . Set $q = |\Sigma|$. Then codewords are elements of Σ^n

→ a codeword $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ can also be described as a function $f : [n] \rightarrow \Sigma$ with $f(i) = v_i, 1 \le i \le n$ and $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$

 \rightarrow A code C can also be defined as $C : [M] \rightarrow \Sigma^n$, where |C| = M

In general, a code of block length *n* over an alphabet Σ is a subset of Σ^n . Set $q = |\Sigma|$. Then codewords are elements of Σ^n

- → a codeword $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ can also be described as a function $f : [n] \rightarrow \Sigma$ with $f(i) = v_i$, $1 \le i \le n$ and $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$
- \rightarrow A code C can also be defined as $C : [M] \rightarrow \Sigma^n$, where |C| = M
- \rightarrow The dimension of a code C is defined as

$$k = \log_q |C|$$

2/5

In general, a code of block length *n* over an alphabet Σ is a subset of Σ^n . Set $q = |\Sigma|$. Then codewords are elements of Σ^n

- → a codeword $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ can also be described as a function $f : [n] \rightarrow \Sigma$ with $f(i) = v_i, 1 \le i \le n$ and $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$
- \rightarrow A code C can also be defined as $C : [M] \rightarrow \Sigma^n$, where |C| = M
- \rightarrow The dimension of a code C is defined as

$$k = \log_q |C|$$

Hamming distance Given two vectors $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \Sigma^n$, the Hamming distance between \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} is the number of positions in which \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} differ

くほう イヨン イヨン 二日

In general, a code of block length *n* over an alphabet Σ is a subset of Σ^n . Set $q = |\Sigma|$. Then codewords are elements of Σ^n

- → a codeword $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ can also be described as a function $f : [n] \rightarrow \Sigma$ with $f(i) = v_i$, $1 \le i \le n$ and $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$
- \rightarrow A code C can also be defined as $C : [M] \rightarrow \Sigma^n$, where |C| = M
- \rightarrow The dimension of a code C is defined as

$$k = \log_q |C|$$

Hamming distance Given two vectors $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \Sigma^n$, the Hamming distance between \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} is the number of positions in which \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} differ

An *n*-symbol *t*-Error Channel over the alphabet Σ is a function $Ch: \Sigma^n \to \Sigma^n$ which satisfies $d(\mathbf{v}, Ch(\mathbf{v})) \leq t$ for every $\mathbf{v} \in \Sigma^n$.

A code *C* is said to be a *t*-error-correcting code if there exists a decoding scheme/function *D* such that for every message $\mathbf{m} \in [|C|]$ every *t*-error channel *Ch* we have $D(Ch(C(\mathbf{m}))) = \mathbf{m}$

3

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

A code *C* is said to be a *t*-error-correcting code if there exists a decoding scheme/function *D* such that for every message $\mathbf{m} \in [|C|]$ every *t*-error channel *Ch* we have $D(Ch(C(\mathbf{m}))) = \mathbf{m}$

t-error detection code Let $C \subseteq \Sigma^n$ and $t \ge 1$ be an integer. Then *C* is said to be *t*-error-detecting code if there exists a detecting procedure *D* such that for every message **m** and every received vector $\mathbf{r} \in \Sigma^n$ satisfying $d(C(\mathbf{m}), \mathbf{r}) \le t$, it holds that *D* outputs 1 if $\mathbf{r} = C(\mathbf{m})$ and 0 otherwise

3

A B M A B M

A code *C* is said to be a *t*-error-correcting code if there exists a decoding scheme/function *D* such that for every message $\mathbf{m} \in [|C|]$ every *t*-error channel *Ch* we have $D(Ch(C(\mathbf{m}))) = \mathbf{m}$

t-error detection code Let $C \subseteq \Sigma^n$ and $t \ge 1$ be an integer. Then *C* is said to be *t*-error-detecting code if there exists a detecting procedure *D* such that for every message **m** and every received vector $\mathbf{r} \in \Sigma^n$ satisfying $d(C(\mathbf{m}), \mathbf{r}) \le t$, it holds that *D* outputs 1 if $\mathbf{r} = C(\mathbf{m})$ and 0 otherwise

Hamming ball For any vector $\mathbf{x} \in [q]^n$, and a nonnegative integer ϵ ,

$${\mathcal B}({\mathbf x},\epsilon) = \{ {\mathbf y} \in [q]^n : d({\mathbf x},{\mathbf y}) \leq \epsilon \}$$

- 本語 医 本 臣 医 一 臣

A code *C* is said to be a *t*-error-correcting code if there exists a decoding scheme/function *D* such that for every message $\mathbf{m} \in [|C|]$ every *t*-error channel *Ch* we have $D(Ch(C(\mathbf{m}))) = \mathbf{m}$

t-error detection code Let $C \subseteq \Sigma^n$ and $t \ge 1$ be an integer. Then *C* is said to be *t*-error-detecting code if there exists a detecting procedure *D* such that for every message **m** and every received vector $\mathbf{r} \in \Sigma^n$ satisfying $d(C(\mathbf{m}), \mathbf{r}) \le t$, it holds that *D* outputs 1 if $\mathbf{r} = C(\mathbf{m})$ and 0 otherwise

Hamming ball For any vector $\mathbf{x} \in [q]^n$, and a nonnegative integer ϵ ,

$${\mathcal B}({\mathbf x},\epsilon) = \{ {\mathbf y} \in [q]^n : d({\mathbf x},{\mathbf y}) \leq \epsilon \}$$

Notation: A code $C \subseteq \Sigma^n$ with dimension k, minimum distance d_{\min} will be called a $(n, k, d_{\min})_{\Sigma}$ code

A B A B A B A B A B A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A

Hamming bound a trade off between redundancy and error-correction capability

э

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Hamming bound a trade off between redundancy and error-correction capability

Theorem (Hamming bound for $d_{\min} = 3$) For any $(n, k, 3)_{\{0,1\}}$ code:

$$k \le n - \log_2(n+1)$$

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

Hamming bound a trade off between redundancy and error-correction capability

Theorem (Hamming bound for $d_{\min} = 3$) For any $(n, k, 3)_{\{0,1\}}$ code:

$$k \le n - \log_2(n+1)$$

Question What happens to binary Hamming code?

Hamming bound a trade off between redundancy and error-correction capability

Theorem (Hamming bound for $d_{\min} = 3$) For any $(n, k, 3)_{\{0,1\}}$ code:

$$k \le n - \log_2(n+1)$$

Question What happens to binary Hamming code? Theorem For any $(n, k, d_{\min})_{\Sigma}$ code:

$$k \leq n - \log_q \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor rac{(d_{\min}-1)}{2}
floor} \binom{n}{i} (q-1)^i
ight),$$

where $q = |\Sigma|$



Perfect code Codes that meet Hamming bound are called perfect codes

э

< □ > < 同 >

Perfect code Codes that meet Hamming bound are called perfect codes Interpretation: If we construct Hamming balls of radius $\left|\frac{d-1}{2}\right|$ around all the codewords then we would cover the entire ambient space i.e. every possible vector will lie in one of these Hamming balls

Perfect code Codes that meet Hamming bound are called perfect codes Interpretation: If we construct Hamming balls of radius $\lfloor \frac{d-1}{2} \rfloor$ around all the codewords then we would cover the entire ambient space i.e. every possible vector will lie in one of these Hamming balls

Question Can you relate this definition of perfect code with that one we discussed for linear block codes!