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Fabrication and Performance of Solution-Based Micropatterned DNA Functionalized Carbon Nanotube Network as Humidity Sensors

Ambarish Paul, Baidurya Bhattacharya, and Tarun Kanti Bhattacharyya, Member, IEEE

Abstract—The paper describes a new technique of precise drop dispensing of deoxyribonucleic acid functionalized single walled carbon nanotube (DFC) solution by microfluidic cantilevers for use as resistance-type humidity sensors. Electrodes of different gap lengths L = 10, 15, 20, and 25 μm were accurately bridged by DFC drops of desired diameter by regulating the relative humidity (RH) of the chamber, contact time τc of the microcantilever type surface patterning tool touching the substrate and the UV/ozone exposure time τUV of the substrate. Sensor performance, including sensitivity and dynamic response characteristics, is investigated in detail for L = 25 μm in the RH range of 20%–90%. The phenomenon of electric field assisted desorption of water molecules from the sensor surface is explained from the decrease in recovery time with the bias voltage. The device shows excellent dynamic repeatability and fairly good environmental stability over a period of one month.

Index Terms—Biofunctionalization, carbon nanotubes (CNTs), deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA), drop dispensing, micropatterning.

I. INTRODUCTION

CARBON nanotubes (CNTs) have, over the last decade or so, emerged as an exciting platform for sensing technology [1]–[9]. Delocalized electrons on the surface of CNTs make them sensitive to any change in the local environment. However, uniform dispersion of CNTs in solution continues to be a challenge which has hindered advances in CNT-based sensors. Functionalizing CNTs with single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) appears promising in this regard [10], [11]. The ssDNA aids dispersion of nanotubes in water since its negatively charged phosphate backbone acts as a surfactant on CNT [12]. The ssDNA is immobilized on the surface of CNT via noncovalent van der Waals interactions [13], [14] and does not have significant influence on the conductance of individual nanotubes [15]. DNA functionalized CNTs (DFCs) have been used in chemical recognition of methanol, trimethylamine, propionic acid, 2,6 dinitrotoluene, dimethylephosphonate [16], and isopropanol [17]. The first ever use of DFC in humidity sensing was reported in our previous study [18] where a DFC network was used to create an FET-type humidity sensor.

B. State of the Art

System integration involving assembly of nanosized sensing materials in micron sized devices is crucial to (and still is a challenge in) the development and large-scale production of miniaturized sensors. Solution casting approaches such as tape casting [19], [20], screen printing [20], [21], spray deposition [20], [22], ink-jet printing [23]–[28], and drop dispensing [29], [30] are relatively easy and economical and thus are widely used in materials assembly. The first three techniques not only require temperatures in excess of 80 °C for curing causing permanent change in electronic properties of biomodified materials, but also form thick films of sensing material in the order of micrometers that result in deviation in transport properties. Ink-jet printing is relatively slow and the film thickness is higher than that in the drop dispensing technique owing to the repetitive casting process required to achieve the required percolation threshold [31]. The drop dispensing technique showed the promise of being used for low heat tolerant materials [32], but suffer from drop size control. Scientist have developed numerous solution dispensing technologies to solve this problem [33]. This technique also suffers from the problem of clogged orifice when the concentration of SWNTs in solution becomes high enough to form bundles. Covalent functionalization is extensively adopted to obtain highly dispersed solution of SWNT [34]. However, covalent functionalization of SWNT distorts the electronic property of the SWNTs [35] which brings about degradation in device performance. We propose an alternative technique of microcantilever-based drop dispensing which achieved the percolation threshold of the DNA functionalized CNT (DFC) network with a single drop cast.

C. Proposed Technique

Baba et al. [36] reported a strategy of micropatterning SWNT composites on Si-substrate using microfluidic cantilevers. We use this drop dispensing technique to drop-cast DFC solution and henceforth use it as a humidity sensor. We improve our syringe-based drop dispensing technique used in our previous study [18] to an advanced microcantilever-based drop dispens-
ing method which showed excellent accuracy and control over microcasting the DFC solution between Au electrodes. The technique achieves a drop cast of nanometer thickness and desired diameter that not only enhances dynamic recovery of the sensor but also prevents wastage of sensing material. The technique imparts consistency in film thickness and reduces strain signals which can evolve due to higher film thickness. This microcantilever-based technique of solution patterning is 30 times faster than ink-jet printing [7] as the required percolation threshold is achieved by microcasting the as-prepared solution between Au-electrodes only once. Our proposed technique requires suspension of isolated SWNTs in solution to prevent aggregation leading to clogging of the dispensing orifice. Isolation of individual SWNT was achieved by passivating its surface by noncovalently attaching ssDNA to it. The negatively charged phosphate backbone of the DNA wrapped around the SWNT not only isolates individual nanotubes in solution due to mutual electrostatic repulsion but also renders the SWNT network highly responsive so that any small physiochemical change in the neighborhood can be immediately sensed by change in conductivity of the network. The mutual repulsion among neighboring DFCs help them to maintain a highly dispersed state in the solution.

In this paper, we develop a humidity sensor by precise microcantilever-based drop dispensing of solution processed DFC between Au-electrodes of variable gaps. Electrodes of different gap lengths \( L = 10, 15, 20, \) and 25 \( \mu \)m were accurately bridged by DFC drops of desired diameter by regulating the relative humidity (RH) of the chamber, contact time \( t_c \) of the microcantilever type surface patterning tool (SPT) touching the substrate and the UV/ozone exposure time \( t_{UV} \) of the substrate. The maximum repeatability error in drop diameter for \( t_{UV} \) in the range 0–4 min was 14% and 9% for \( t_c = 1 \) and 2 s, respectively. The sensor performance parameters like sensitivity, response, and recovery times, dynamic repeatability reproducibility and environmental stability have to be investigated. The evidence of electric field assisted desorption (EAD) of water molecules from the sensor bed is reported.

II. EXPERIMENTAL

A. Materials

The SWNTs, produced by CoMoCAT catalytic CVD process, were purchased from Sigma Aldrich, USA (Cat No. 704148). TEM investigation revealed the SWNT diameters to be in the range of 6–11 nm. The ssDNA sample constituting 41.9% G–C content and 58.1% A–T content in arbitrary sequence was purchased from Sigma Aldrich, USA (Cat No. D8899). The DNA strands have an average length of 587–831 base pairs and are best suited for functionalization applications. Tris-ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA) buffer was purchased from Fluka Analytical BioUltra (Cat No. 93302–100 ml). The water used in the analysis and in solution was MilliQ quality (resistivity 18.2 M\( \Omega \)cm). The silicon wafers were \( n \)-doped with resistivity 4–20 \( \Omega \)cm.

B. Synthesis of DFC

The DNA functionalized CNT (DFC) suspension in tris EDTA buffer solution was prepared with ssDNA and SWNT in the mass ratio 1:2 as follows. The ssDNA solution was prepared by adding 0.1 mg of ssDNA in 5 ml tris buffer solution and allowing the ssDNA to dissolve overnight in the sample mixer (HulaMixer from Life Technologies-Invitrogen, USA). 0.2 mg of SWNT was then added to the ssDNA solution and subjected to 4 h of alternate cycles of low intensity sonication for 30 min in an ice bath maintained at 0 °C and mixing for 30 min. This cycling allowed successful wrapping of ssDNA around SWNT going through the following sequence of steps—adsorption, disordered coating, partial ordering, and ordered coating [37]. The solution containing the DFC was filtered through Nucleapore track etch membrane (Whatman) of pore size 4 \( \mu \)m to eliminate the free standing ssDNA strands from the solution. The retentate was dissolved in tris buffer solution by sonicating the solution for 10 min until a uniform dispersion was obtained. The solution was subsequently centrifuged at 2000 r/min for 1 min to remove the large bundles of unmodified SWNTs which could not be dispersed during sonication. The supernatant solution was collected and preserved for drop dispensing on the fabricated electrodes. Since the free standing DNAs were removed from the solution as filtrate, the amount of unbound ssDNA could not be ascertained. The nucleobases of the ssDNA were bound to the surface of the SWNT by noncovalent \( \pi-\pi \) stacking interactions [38].

C. Fabrication of Au-Electrodes

For this paper, we used an \( n \)-doped Si-wafer with a thermally grown SiO\(_2\) layer of thickness 250 nm as substrate. The Cr/Au layers of thickness 10 nm/150 nm were deposited on the SiO\(_2\) substrate by thermal evaporation technique. Au-patterns of source and drain electrodes separated by gap lengths \( L \) of 10, 15, 20, and 25 \( \mu \)m were fabricated by conventional lithography technique using a UV exposure source of wavelength 405 nm. The width of the Au-electrodes measured by Dektak Profilometer Veeco150 were found to be 20 \( \mu \)m. Profilometer measurements showed that the fabricated gap length increased by 2 \( \mu \)m from the designed value due to Au-overetching and noncontact pattern transfer process.

D. Drop Size Control by Nano eNabler System

Micropatterning of DFC solution between Au-electrodes requires precise position and drop diameter control so that the DFC network just bridges the electrodes at a desired location thereby preventing wastage of sensing material. The DFC in tris-EDTA buffer was drop cast using Nano eNabler system (Bioforce Nanosciences, USA) having an SPT attachment in the form of microfluidic-based cantilevers of channel width 30 \( \mu \)m, as shown in Fig. 1(a). UV/ozone exposure was performed using UV/Ozone Procleaner (PC) (Bioforce Nanosciences, USA). Fig. 1(b) shows the dispensing of drops by SPT at RH 50% and \( t_{UV} = 2 \) min for \( t_c = 1, 2, \) and 3 s.

The diameter of the DFC drop dispensed by this technique depends on the RH of the humidity chamber (HC) (Bioforce, USA), \( t_c \), hydrophilicity of the substrate regulated by \( t_{UV} \), and viscosity of the solution. The effect of viscosity on the drop diameter was not studied in this paper. The UV/Ozone exposure renders the substrate hydrophilic so that the DFC solution can
be easily transferred to the substrate through capillary action. Optimal RH, \( t_c \), and \( t_{UV} \) is ascertained to achieve precise control over desired drop diameter.

Fig. 2 shows a linear relation of maximum drop diameter \( D_{max} \) with RH\% in the range 40\%–80\% for different contact times (\( t_c \)) from 1–5 s when the substrate was not exposed to UV/ozone treatment. Compared to that for lower RH, drops of larger diameter are dispensed by the SPT under condition of higher RH.

\( D_{max} \) was also observed to increase almost linearly with \( t_c \) (see Fig. 3). Observations from the \( D_{max} \) versus \( t_c \) plot in Fig. 4, obtained at RH 50\% for different UV/ozone exposure times \( t_{UV} \) in the range 0–4 min showed that the drop size can be controlled by UV ozone treatment of the SiO\(_2\) substrate. Drop diameter, at RH 50\%, can be varied in the range 14–28 \( \mu \)m and 26–38 \( \mu \)m with \( t_c = 1 \) and 2 s, respectively, by mere UV/Ozone exposure of the substrate. Since \( t_{UV} \) can be conveniently and accurately fixed using a timer clock in PC, it serves as the most precise drop size control parameter in our experiment. Based on these observations, fabrication of devices in this paper was performed at \( t_c = 1 \) s for \( L = 10, 15, \) and 20 \( \mu \)m, and \( t_c = 2 \) s for 25 \( \mu \)m.

Fig. 5 shows the variation of \( D_{max} \) with \( t_{UV} \) for the two values of \( t_c = 1 \) and 2 s. \( D_{max} \) show linear behavior up to about \( t_{UV} = 2–3 \) min beyond which \( D_{max} \) tends to saturate. The increase in hydrophilicity of the substrate with UV/ozone exposure causes the formation of temporary monolayer of free radicals which gets saturated after sufficient UV/ozone exposures. The error bars in the figure correspond to 10 drops for each \( t_{UV}, t_c \) combination showing good repeatability (4\%–14\% for \( t_c = 1 \) s and 6\%–9\% for \( t_c = 2 \) s in the range of \( t_{UV} = 0 \) to 4 min).

Table I shows the drop casting parameters selected in this paper to bridge the desired electrode gap lengths \( L \). Corresponding values of \( D_{max} \) (last column) account for Au etching loss of 1 \( \mu \)m and incorporate an overlap of 3 \( \mu \)m on either Au electrodes for each of the devices.
TABLE I  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>L(μm)</th>
<th>RH%</th>
<th>t₀ (s)</th>
<th>t₁ (min)</th>
<th>Desired D_{max}±Err.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17.5±2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>24.5±2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>32.4±3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>41.0±3.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

E. Micropatterning of DFC Solution

The SPT was turned hydrophilic by UV/ozone treatment for 40 min. Fig. 6(a) shows the schematic side view of the dispensing process between Au electrodes by SPT. DFC was drop dispensed on L = 10, 15, 20, and 25 μm, according to the protocol laid down in Table I and shown in Fig. 6(b) i–iv. The diameter and thickness of the DFC drop-cast between Au-electrodes for L = 10, 15, 20, and 25 μm were determined by 3-D surface scan using Dektak Profilometer Veeco150 as 15, 22, 27, and 35 μm, respectively. The 3-D profilometer image and the height profile for the case of L = 25 μm are shown in Fig. 7(a) and (b), respectively.

F. Device Characterization Setup

The experimental set-up for the electrical characterization of the as fabricated device is illustrated in Fig. 8. The device was mounted on the sample stage S and was connected to semiconductor parameter analyzer (4155C, Agilent Technologies) through a test box (16442A, Agilent Technologies). The HC included a humidifier F that fed a controlled amount of water vapor into it. The RH within HC depended on the volumetric ratio of moist air from F and dry N₂ from the gas inlet O. The RH was monitored by a commercial digital hygrometer (Model: Kusam Meco. 918, resolution 0.1 RH%, accuracy 2.5% of RH) kept within HC. Electrical measurements were performed after allowing the patterned DFC solution to dry up at 25 °C for 24 h.

G. Electrical Characterization

Electrical measurements were conducted on as fabricated devices with L = 10, 15, 20, and 25 μm in the RH range 40%–80% at V_{DS} 2.5 V as shown in Fig. 9. The I versus RH calibration curve for device with L = 10 μm was found to be steeper than that for L = 25 μm. The experimental data for all the calibration curves were exponentially fitted as

\[ I = \alpha e^{\beta \times RH} - I_0 \]  

where \( \alpha, \beta, \) and \( I_0 \) are constants that depend on electrode gap length L and bias voltage V_{DS}. The constants for a fixed V_{DS} = 2.5 V are listed in Table II.

Fig. 9 also shows that the I–RH curves are nonlinear. Thus, the sensitivity S of the humidity sensor is obtained by differentiating

\[ S = \frac{dI}{dRH} = \beta \alpha e^{\beta \times RH} \]
Fig. 9. $I$ versus RH plot for devices with electrode gap $L = 10, 15, 20,$ and 25 $\mu$m. (Inset) sensitivity $S$ versus RH% plot for the same devices.

TABLE II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constants</th>
<th>$I_0$ (nA)</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
<th>$\beta$</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$L$ ($\mu$m)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>107.61</td>
<td>39.43</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>44.13</td>
<td>8.11</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>8.56</td>
<td>0.395</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>0.996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>0.996</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) as

$$S = \frac{dI}{dRH} = \alpha \beta \epsilon^\beta \text{RH}.$$  

(2)

The $S$ versus RH% curve for all the four devices with $L = 10, 15, 20,$ and 25 $\mu$m are plotted in Fig. 9 (inset). Since $S$ increases exponentially with RH% the device is more sensitive at higher RH than at a lower RH. The $S$ for devices with $L = 15, 20,$ and 25 $\mu$m were found higher than that for device with $L = 10 \mu$m for higher RH in the range 70%–80%, which may be attributed to lower sensing area for the latter device.

Although resistive chemical sensors with lower electrode gap enjoy higher sensitivities, SWNT–FET-based devices with higher channel length have higher ON/OFF ratio suited for switching applications [39]. Aimed at the development of DFC–FET with higher ON/OFF ratio we carry on our investigations for different sensor parameters with fabricated devices with $L = 25 \mu$m as discussed in the following section.

III. SENSOR PERFORMANCE

It was observed in our earlier study [18] that the conductance of the DFC network increases exponentially with increasing levels of RH, making DFC a potential sensing material for humidity sensor especially at higher RH. In this paper, we extend our investigation to obtain the calibration curves for wider range of bias voltage $V_{DS}$ and find its optimum value. The section also addresses the influence of variation of bias voltage on the dynamic response of the humidity sensor. This paper investigates the repeatability and also focuses on its environmental stability of the device.

A. Calibration Curve

The $I$ versus RH calibration of the device with $L = 25 \mu$m for bias voltage ($V_{DS}$) ranging from 0.1 to 4.0 V was performed under normal atmospheric pressure and at temperature 20 °C. For a fixed $V_{DS}$, current $I$ was recorded in the RH range of 10%–90%. Condensation on the cooler HC wall prevented going above 90% RH. All calibration curves obtained for $V_{DS}$ of 0.1–4.0 V show exponential rise in $I$ with RH% as shown in Fig. 10 and were fitted in (1), where $\alpha, \beta,$ and $I_0$ listed in the plot are defined as constants for the calibration curve for a fixed $L = 25 \mu$m. The minimum recorded S/N ratio among all these curves was 17.40 (at RH 80% and $V_{DS}$ 1.0 V).

B. Sensitivity

The sensitivity of the device with RH for $V_{DS}$ from 0.1 to 4.0 V is shown in Fig. 11. Clearly the sensitivity increases exponentially with RH% for all $V_{DS}$. Fig. 11 (inset) shows the variation of sensitivity with $V_{DS}$. At a constant RH 70%, the sensitivity was 0.47 and 1.541 nA/RH% when the device was operated at 2.0 and 4.0 V, respectively. The device is best suited for applications where sensitivity is the primary requirement as in medical services, incubator, and spinning in textile industry [40].
Fig. 12. Dynamic response of the device at different bias voltages of 1.3, 2.0, 2.5, 3.6, and 4.0 V showing the variation in recovery time. (Inset: plot of the recovery time versus bias voltage.)

C. Dynamic Characteristics

The response and recovery times of a sensor are determined from its dynamic response characteristics. Fig. 12 shows the \( I \) versus time response of the device switched between RH 40% (initial state) and 80% (final state) at different bias voltages between 1.3 and 4.0 V. Response time of a sensor is the time required for the current to rise from the base line \( I_i \) (at RH 40%) to 90% of the finally settled current \( (I_f) \) corresponding to RH 80%. The recovery time is the time required for the current to fall below 10% of \( I_f \). The response times for our device were observed to be fairly constant at 5 s for all operating \( V_{DS} \). Importantly, the recovery time was found to decrease with \( V_{DS} \) and showed a minimum of 7 s at \( V_{DS} = 2.6 \) V (see Fig. 12 inset) signifying EAD of water molecules from the sensor bed.

The phenomena of EAD can be explained by the concept of orientation polarization between two interacting dipoles. At increased \( V_{DS} \), by the virtue of higher mutual potential energy \( \Phi_{ij} \) of interacting molecular dipoles of water two neighboring dipoles are thrown into a nonequilibrium state when they tend to minimize their energy by avoiding the influence of the applied electric field \( E \). The easiest possible way to evade the field is by the process of desorption following which the individual dipole moments of water can revert back to the randomly oriented equilibrium state of minimum \( \Phi_{ij} \). Thus, higher the state of polarization in DFC, more rapid is the rate of release of water molecules from its surface. Consequently, the recovery time strongly reduces with increase in \( V_{DS} \). The increase in recovery time at higher \( V_{DS} \geq 2.6 \) V was due to entrapment of charged ions beneath the ultrathin layers of water which delays the recovery of the sensor to the initial lower RH state. Thus, dynamic characteristics of humidity sensors should be taken into consideration while selecting the optimal operating voltage.

D. Dynamic Repeatability

Repeatability is the ability of the sensor to produce the same output signal current under identical environmental and operating conditions. The repeatability of the device was studied at \( V_{DS} = 2.5 \) V (so chosen to be close to the condition of minimum response and recovery times) by subjecting the device to alternate exposures of RH 40% and RH 80% for 10 s each for four identical cycles as shown in Fig. 13. There was insignificant negative baseline drift on subsequent repetitive measurement cycles which confirms that on exposure to higher RH, the increase in conductance was not governed by adsorption and desorption of water molecules, but solely dominated by charge transfer mechanism [18].

Repeatability error \( \delta_r \) is defined as the ratio of the difference between output currents in two different calibrating cycles \( (\Delta I_f) \) and the full scale output signal current \( (I_f) \) and is denoted by

\[
\delta_r = \frac{\Delta I_f}{I_f} \times 100\%.
\]

At RH 80%, \( \delta_r \) was 5.5%.

E. Fabrication Reproducibility and Environmental Stability

Five devices were fabricated and developed under identical process flow and micropatterning technique. Reproducibility in calibration curves of fabricated devices in the RH range 20–80% were studied at \( V_{DS} = 2.5 \) V as shown in Fig. 14. The minimum and maximum reproducibility error about the mean current \( I \) was found to be 5.8\% and 27.14\% at RH 30\% and RH 65\%, respectively. These variations in conductance are presumably due the presence of SWNTs of different chiralities in the sample material. Fabrication reproducibility can be increased by using SWNTs that do not widely vary in electronic properties, and realized through novel growth techniques [41].

The study of stability of the sensor against environmental exposure was carried over a period of 100 days. Electrical measurements were conducted in an interval of 10 days at temperature of 20 °C. The plot of the measured signal current \( I \) with time is shown for different RH levels from 40\% to 90\% RH in Fig. 14 (inset). The percentage variation in output signal current
is obtained from the following relation:

$$\frac{\Delta I(t)}{I_0} = \frac{\text{measured current at time } t}{\text{initial measured current}} \times 100\% \quad (4)$$

and is listed in Table III at 30, 60, and 90 days. The device shows good environmental stability up to one month (less than 5% variation) and is consistent with results obtained for devices developed with nanomaterials [42].

TABLE III

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RH (%)</th>
<th>Percentage Variation in Output Current I after</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30 Days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>2.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>3.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>9.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>2.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>4.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

is obtained from the following relation:

IV. CONCLUSION

We presented a technique of precisely micropatterning solution processed ssDNA functionalized CNT (DFC) network between different Au electrode gap lengths by microcantilever-based SPT and using the device as humidity sensor. The functionalization of SWNT by ssDNA not only debundles the nanotubes by electrostatic repulsion but also increases the solubility of DFC in aqueous solution and prevents accumulation in the microfluidic channel. As the microcantilever type drop casting technique attains the required percolation threshold in a single drop cast, the fabrication time is highly reduced. The technique not only dispenses DFC solution on a desired location but also possesses precise control over drop size producing minimal wastage of sensing material. Different electrode gap lengths were accurately bridged by DFC drops of desired diameters by regulating the RH of the chamber, contact time $t_c$ of the microcantilever type SPT touching the substrate and the UV/ozone exposure time $t_{UV}$ of the substrate. The technique achieved a good repeatability in drop size with an error of 4%–14% for $t_c = 1$ s and 6%–9% for $t_c = 2$ s in the range of $t_{UV} = 0$ to 4 min.

The DFC-based humidity sensor works on the principle of variation of conductance of DFC network on exposure to water molecules. The sensor was found highly sensitive to humidity especially at high RH and that the sensitivity $S$ of the device increases with bias voltage. Sensitivity $S$ was also found to increase with electrode gap length $L$. However, at high RH, the devices with $L = 15$, 20, and 25 $\mu$m showed higher $S$ than for $L = 10$ $\mu$m, which is attributed to higher sensing areas in the former cases.

EAD of water molecules from the sensor bed is explained from the decrease in recovery time from 48 to 7 s when bias voltage was increased from 1.3 to 2.6 V. EAD is attributed to the (nearly) parallel orientation of molecular dipoles along the external field $E$ which raises the potential energy of interacting dipoles, allowing rapid release of water molecules from the surface of the DFC. The increase in recovery time at higher $V_{DS}$ ($> 2.6$ V) was due to entrapment of charged ions beneath the ultrathin layers of water. After one month the DFC shows an error within 5% due to environmental degradation. The devices showed good dynamic repeatability over consecutive measurement cycles with an error of 5.5% at RH 80%. As the sample material contains metallic as well as semiconducting SWNTs of different chiralities the fabrication reproducibility suffers a maximum error of 27.14%. The technique is most suitable for the development of solution processed biofunctionalized SWNT-based sensors as it does not require drying of sensing material after drop casting.
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