Outline:

- Basic Estimation Theory: ML, MAP
- Conditional Expectation, and Mean Square Estimation
- Orthogonality Principle and LMMSE Estimator

- Main Question: Given an observation Y of a random variable X, how to estimate X?
- In other words, what is the best function g such that $\hat{X} = g(Y)$ is the best estimator? How to quantify "best"?
- More generally: given a sequence of observation of $\widehat{y}_1, \ldots, \widehat{y}_k$, how to estimate X?
- Example: Radar detection: Suppose that X is the radial distance of an aircraft from a radar station and Y = X + Z is the radar's observed location where Z is independent of X and $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$. What is the best estimator $\widehat{X} = g(Y)$ of the location X?

- Let X be a random variable which is uniformly distributed over $[0, \theta]$.
- We observe m samples of X denoted $\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, \ldots, \hat{x}_m$.
- Problem: estimate θ given our observations.
- Let the samples be $\{1, 2, 1.5, 1.75, 2, 1.3, 0.8, 0.3, 1\}$.
- What is a good estimate of θ ?
- Can we find a function $g(\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, \dots, \hat{x}_m)$ which will map any set of m samples into an estimate of θ ? Such a function is termed "estimator."
- We often treat the observations as random variables that depend on the quantities that we are trying to estimate.
- <u>Case 1</u>: The unknown quantity θ is assumed to be an unknown parameter/- constant with observation $X \sim \text{distribution}(\theta)$
- <u>Case 2</u>: The unknown quantity θ is assumed to be a random variable.

- We observe X which is assumed to be a random variable whose distribution depends on an unknown parameter θ .
- When X is continuous, its density $f_X(x;\theta)$.
- When X is discrete, its pmf $p_X(x; \theta)$.
- When the observation is \widehat{x} , we define <u>Likelihood function</u> as

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta|X=\widehat{x}) = \begin{cases} f_X(\widehat{x};\theta) & \text{when } X \text{ is continuous,} \\ p_X(\widehat{x};\theta) & \text{when } X \text{ is discrete.} \end{cases}$$

• The maximum likelihood estimate of θ when $X=\widehat{x}$ is

$$\hat{\theta}_{ML}(\widehat{x}) := \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta} \quad \mathcal{L}(\theta | X = \widehat{x}).$$

• Thus, maximum likelihood estimate is the value of θ which maximizes the likelihood of observing \hat{x} .

- We rarely estimate a quantity based on a single observation.
- Suppose we have N i.i.d observations, $\{\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, \dots, \hat{x}_N\}$ each drawn from the same distribution.
- Likelihood function is then computed as

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta|X_1 = \hat{x}_1, X_2 = \hat{x}_1, \dots, X_N = \hat{x}_n) = f_{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N}(\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, \dots, \hat{x}_N; \theta)$$

= $f_{X_1}(\hat{x}_1; \theta) \times f_{X_2}(\hat{x}_2; \theta) \dots \times f_{X_N}(\hat{x}_N; \theta)$ (due to independence of observations)
= $f_X(\hat{x}_1; \theta) \times f_X(\hat{x}_2; \theta) \dots \times f_X(\hat{x}_N; \theta)$ (each X_i has identical distribution)
= $\prod_{i=1}^N f_X(\hat{x}_i; \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^N \mathcal{L}(\theta|X_i = \hat{x}_i).$

• Product term is difficult to maximize. However, we can compute the loglikelihood as

$$\log(\mathcal{L}(\theta|X_1=\widehat{x}_1,X_2=\widehat{x}_1,\ldots,X_N=\widehat{x}_n)) = \sum_{i=1}^N \log(f_X(\widehat{x}_i;\theta))$$

which is often easier to maximize with respect to θ .

• Consider a random variable X defined as

$$X = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{with probability} \quad \theta \\ 0 & \text{with probability} \quad 1 - \theta \end{cases}, \qquad \theta \in [0, 1].$$

- We observe $\{\widehat{x}_1, \widehat{x}_2, \dots, \widehat{x}_N\}$ with each $\widehat{x}_i \in \{0, 1\}$.
- Problem: find $\hat{\theta}_{ML}(\widehat{x}_1, \widehat{x}_2, \dots, \widehat{x}_N)$
- The likelihood function $\mathcal{L}(\theta|X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2..., X_N = x_n) =$
- The log-likelihood function $\log(\mathcal{L}(\theta|X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2..., X_N = x_n)) =$
- Optimizing log-likelihood function with respect to θ yields
- ML Estimator $\hat{\theta}_{ML}(X_1, X_2, ..., X_N)$ is a r.v that is function of $X_1, ..., X_N$ given by

$$\hat{\theta}_{ML}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N) =$$

• When X is a discrete random variable with p.m.f. $[\theta_1 \ \theta_2 \ ... \theta_N] = \theta$ with

$$\mathbb{P}(X=1) = \theta_1, \qquad \mathbb{P}(X=2) = \theta_2 \qquad \dots \qquad \text{and so on.}$$

Then, the likelihood function $\mathcal{L}(\theta|X = i) = \theta_i$. What is the likelihood function after N observations?

• Recall that conditional probability of two events A and B is defined as

$$\mathbb{P}(A|B) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(A \cap B)}{\mathbb{P}(B)}.$$

• Example: let X_1 : outcome of one coin toss with

$$X_1 = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{with probability} \quad p \\ 0, & \text{with probability} \quad 1-p. \end{cases}$$

• Let X_2 : be outcome of second coin toss, and X_2 has same distribution as X_1 .

• Joint pmf:
$$p_{X_1X_2}(x_1, x_2) = \begin{cases} p^2 & \text{when } (x_1, x_2) = (1, 1) \\ p(1-p) & \text{when } (x_1, x_2) = (1, 0) \\ p(1-p) & \text{when } (x_1, x_2) = (0, 1) \\ (1-p)^2 & \text{when } (x_1, x_2) = (0, 0) \end{cases}$$

• Conditional pmf of X_1 conditioned on X_2 :

$$p_{X_1|X_2}(x_1|X_2 = x_2) = \mathbb{P}(X_1 = x_1|X_2 = x_2) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2)}{\mathbb{P}(X_2 = x_2)}$$

• Conditional pmf of X_1 given $X_2 = 0$ is given by:

$$p_{X_1|X_2}(0|X_2=0) = \mathbb{P}(X_1=0|X_2=0) = p_{X_1|X_2}(1|X_2=0) = \mathbb{P}(X_1=1|X_2=0) =$$

- Consider two discrete random variables X and Y. Let X takes values from the set $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ and let Y takes values from the set $\{y_1, \ldots, y_m\}$.
- Conditional pmf of X given $Y = y_j$ is given by:

$$p_{X|Y}(x_i|Y=y_j) = \mathbb{P}(X=x_i|Y=y_j) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(X=x_i,Y=y_j)}{\mathbb{P}(Y=y_j)} \quad \forall i \in \{1,2,\dots,n\}.$$

- The numerator is obtained from the joint distribution of X and Y. The denominator is obtained from the marginal distribution of Y.
- For two continuous random variables X and Y conditional CDF is given by

$$F_{X|Y}(x|y) = \mathbb{P}(X \le x|Y \le y) = \frac{F_{X,Y}(x,y)}{F_Y(y)}.$$

• In this case, the conditional density is given by

$$f_{X|Y}(x|y) = \frac{f_{X,Y}(x,y)}{f_Y(y)}.$$

Consider two continuous random variables \boldsymbol{X} and \boldsymbol{Y} with joint density

$$f_{XY}(x,y) = \begin{cases} x+y & \text{if } 0 \le x \le 1, 0 \le y \le 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Determine $\mathbb{P}(X < \frac{1}{4}|Y = \frac{1}{3})$ by deriving and using the conditional density of X given Y.

Consider a random variable X whose density is given by

$$f_X(x) = \left\{ egin{array}{ccc} 1 & , \ 0 \leq x \leq 1 \\ 0 & , \ {
m otherwise} \end{array}
ight.$$

The conditional density of Y given X = x is given by

$$f_{Y|X=x}(y) = \begin{cases} & \frac{1}{1-x}, & x \le y \le 1 \\ & 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Determine the marginal density of Y.

- ML estimators assume θ to be an unknown parameter. If instead θ is a r.v with some distribution that is known, we use a Bayesian approach to estimate θ .
- We assume prior distribution: $f_{\theta}(\theta)/p_{\theta}(\theta)$ of θ that is known to us beforehand.
- Conditional distribution: $f_{X|\theta}(x|\theta)$ is also as some to be known. The distribution of the observed quantity is known if the unknown parameter is exactly known.
- Once we observe $X = \hat{x}$, we find posterior distribution using Baye's law as:

$$f_{\theta|X}(\theta|X = \widehat{x}) = \frac{f_{\theta,X}(\theta, \widehat{x})}{f_X(\widehat{x})}$$
$$= \frac{f_{X|\theta}(\widehat{x}|\theta)f_{\theta}(\theta)}{f_X(\widehat{x})}$$
$$= \frac{f_{X|\theta}(\widehat{x}|\theta)f_{\theta}(\theta)}{\int_{\theta}f_{X|\theta}(\widehat{x}|\theta)f_{\theta}(\theta)d\theta}$$

• The MAP estimate is defined as:

$$\hat{\theta}_{\mathsf{MAP}}(\widehat{x}) = \mathsf{argmax}_{\theta} \quad f_{\theta|X}(\theta|X = \widehat{x}) = \mathsf{argmax}_{\theta} \quad f_{X|\theta}(\widehat{x}|\theta)f_{\theta}(\theta),$$

which is the mode of the posterior distribution.

Suppose Θ is a random parameter, and given $\Theta = \theta$, the observed quantity Y has conditional density

$$f_{Y|\Theta}(y|\theta) = \frac{\theta}{2}e^{-\theta|y|}, y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

1. Find the Maximum Likelihood (ML) estimate of Θ based on the observation Y = -0.5.

Suppose further that Θ has prior density given by $f_{\Theta}(\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta}, 1 \leq \theta \leq e$ (and $f_{\Theta}(\theta) = 0$ for $\theta < 1$ and $\theta > e$.). Then,

2. find the Maximum A-Posteriori (MAP) estimate of Θ based on the observation Y = -0.5.

Answer: $\widehat{\Theta}_{ML}(Y = -0.5) = 2, \widehat{\Theta}_{MAP}(Y = -0.5) = 1.$

- The best is subjective and need to set a criteria. One popular criteria is *Mean Square Error (MSE)*.
- For measurements X₁,..., X_k of a random variable X, we define the MSE of (a measurable) an estimator (function) g : ℝ^k → ℝ to be

$$\mathbb{E}[|g(X_1,\ldots,X_k)-X|^2].$$

- In this setting, we view $\mathbb{E}[|U X|^2]$ as the squared *distance* of random variables U and X.
- Once we fix the MSE criteria for the best estimator, then the problem of finding the best MSE estimator for X based on the measurements X_1, \ldots, X_k can be formulated as:

$$\arg\min_{g:\mathbb{R}^k\to\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{E}[|g(X_1,\ldots,X_k)-X|^2].$$

- Any g that minimizes the above criteria is called a Minimum Mean Square Error (MMSE) estimator.
- When solving for MMSE, we always assume that all the random variables involved have finite mean and variance.

- In practice: finding the MMSE *might be* hard.
- We can restrict our attention to special classes of functions g.
- Let k = 0, and suppose that we want to find the best *constant* c that estimates X. Note that in this case, we view c as a constant random variable.

objective: finding
$$c \in \operatorname{argmin}_{c} \mathbb{E}[|X - c|^{2}].$$
 (1)

• Let
$$\overline{X} = \mathbb{E}[X]$$
. Then,

$$\mathbb{E}[|X-c|^2] = \mathbb{E}[|X-\bar{X}+\bar{X}-c|^2] \\ = \mathbb{E}[|X-\bar{X}|^2 + 2(\bar{X}-c)\mathbb{E}[(X-\bar{X})] + (\bar{X}-c)^2 \\ = \mathbb{E}[(X-\bar{X})^2] + \mathbb{E}[(\bar{X}-c)^2].$$

- Therefore, (1) is minimized when $c = \bar{X}$ and MMSE value is going to be Var(X).
- Estimation theory interpretation of mean and variance: The best constant MMSE estimator of X is $\mathbb{E}[X]$ and the corresponding MMSE value is Var(X).

Example: Let X, Y be discrete r.v with $(X, Y \in \{1, 2\})$ and joint pmf:

$$\mathbb{P}[X = 1, Y = 1] = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \mathbb{P}[X = 1, Y = 2] = \frac{1}{10} \\ \mathbb{P}[X = 2, Y = 1] = \frac{1}{10}, \quad \mathbb{P}[X = 2, Y = 2] = \frac{3}{10}$$

- Determine the marginal pmf of X and Y.
- Show that the conditional pmf of X given Y = 1 is

$$\mathbb{P}[X|Y=1] = \begin{cases} \frac{5}{6} & \text{if } X = 1\\ \frac{1}{6} & \text{if } X = 2. \end{cases}$$

• We can then compute

$$\mathbb{E}[X|Y=1] = \sum_{x \in X} x \mathbb{P}[X=x|Y=1] =$$

• Similarly, show that the conditional pmf of X given Y = 2 is

$$\mathbb{P}[X|Y=2] = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4} & \text{if } X=1\\ \frac{3}{4} & \text{if } X=2. \end{cases}$$

- Then, $\mathbb{E}[X|Y=2] =$.
- We can view $\mathbb{E}[X|Y]$ as a function of Y as

$$g(Y) = \mathbb{E}[X|Y] = \begin{cases} & \mathbb{E}[X|Y=1] & \text{with probability} & \mathbb{P}[Y=1] \\ & \mathbb{E}[X|Y=2] & \text{with probability} & \mathbb{P}[Y=2] \end{cases}$$

- Now, determine $\mathbb{E}[g(Y)]$.
- Determine $\mathbb{E}[X]$. What do you notice?

- If the value of Y is specified, then $\mathbb{E}[X|Y=y]$ is a scalar.
- Otherwise, $\mathbb{E}[X|Y]$ is a random variable which is a function of Y. if for $\omega_1 \neq \omega_2, Y(\omega_1) = Y(\omega_2) \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[X|Y = Y(\omega_1)] = \mathbb{E}[X|Y = Y(\omega_2)].$
- $\bullet\,$ For two continuous random variables X,Y ,

$$\mathbb{E}[X|Y=y] = \int_{x} x f_{X|Y}(x \mid Y=y) dx = \int_{x} x \frac{f_{X,Y}(x,y)}{f_{Y}(y)} dx.$$

• Similarly,

$$\mathbb{E}[h(X)|Y=y] = \int_x h(x)f_{X|Y}(x,Y=y)dx$$
$$\mathbb{E}[l(X,Y)|Y=y] = \int_x l(x,y)f_{X|Y}(x,Y=y)dx$$

• If the value of Y is not specified, $\mathbb{E}[l(X,Y)|Y]$ is a random variable.

Let \boldsymbol{X} and \boldsymbol{Y} be two random variables and independent with

$$X = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{with probability} & \frac{1}{2}, \\ 0 & \text{with probability} & \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Let Y have the same distribution as X. Let Z = X + Y.

- Determine the pmf of Z.
- Find conditional distribution and expectation of X when z = 1 and z = 2.
- Find conditional distribution and expectation of z when X = 1.

- Linearity: $\mathbb{E}[aX + bY|Z] = a\mathbb{E}[X|Z] + b\mathbb{E}[Y|Z]$ a.e.
- Monotonicty: $X \leq Y \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[X|Z] \leq \mathbb{E}[Y|Z]$ a.e.
- Identity: $\mathbb{E}[Y|Y = y] = y$. What is the conditional distribution of Y when its value is specified? Determine $\mathbb{E}[Y|Y]$ and $\mathbb{E}[g(Y)]$.
- Independence: Suppose X and Y are independent. Then,

$$\mathbb{E}[X \mid Y = y] = \int_{x} x f_{x|Y=y}(x \mid Y = y) dx$$
$$= \int_{x} x \frac{f_{xy}(x, y)}{f_{Y}(y)} dx = \int_{x} x f_{X}(x) dx = \mathbb{E}[X]$$

independent of the value of Y = y. In other words,

$$\mathbb{E}[X \mid Y] = \int_{y} \mathbb{E}[X \mid Y = y] f_Y(y) dy = \mathbb{E}[X] \int_{y} f_Y(y) dy = \mathbb{E}[X].$$

Similarly, $\mathbb{E}[g(X) \mid Y] = \mathbb{E}[g(X)].$

• $\mathbb{E}[Xg(Y)|Y] = g(Y)\mathbb{E}[X|Y].$

Tower Property:

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X|Y]] = \mathbb{E}[X].$$

Proof:

$$\mathbb{E}_{Y}[\mathbb{E}[X|Y]] = \int_{y} \mathbb{E}[X|Y = y]f_{Y}(y)dy$$

= $\int_{y} \left(\int_{x} x f_{X|Y}(x \mid Y = y)dx \right) f_{Y}(y)dy$
= $\int_{y} \int_{x} x \underbrace{f_{X|Y}(x \mid Y = y)f_{Y}(y)}_{f_{xy}(x,y)} dydx$
= $\int_{x} x \underbrace{\left(\int_{y} f_{XY}(x,y)dy \right)}_{=:f_{X}(x)} dx$
= $\int_{x} x f_{X}(x)dx = \mathbb{E}[X]$

Orthogonality: for any measurable function g,

$$\mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X|Y])g(Y)] = 0.$$

That is, $(X - \mathbb{E}[X|Y])$ is orthogonal to any function g(Y) of Y. Proof: $\frac{\text{Proposition: Let }g(Y) \text{ be an estimator of }X\text{, and the mean square estimation }}{\text{error be defined as }\mathbb{E}[(X-g(Y))^2]. \text{ Then,}}$

$$\mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X|Y])^2] \le \mathbb{E}[(X - g(Y))^2], \text{ for all measurable } g.$$

<u>Proof:</u>

$$\mathbb{E}\left[(X - g(Y))^2\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[(X - \mathbb{E}[X \mid Y] + \mathbb{E}[X \mid Y] - g(Y))^2\right]$$

=

- We define L₂(Ω, F, P) (or simply L₂) to be the set of random variables with finite second moment, i.e., L₂ = {X | E[X²] < ∞}.
- Properties of L_2 :
 - $-L_2$ is a linear subspace of random variables:
 - (i) $aX \in L_2$ for all $X \in L_2$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$ as $\mathbb{E}[(aX)^2] = a^2 \mathbb{E}[X^2] < \infty$, and
 - (ii) $X + Y \in L_2$ for all $X, Y \in L_2$
 - The most important property: L_2 is an inner-product space. For any two random variables $X, Y \in L_2$, let us define their inner product

$$X \cdot Y := \mathbb{E}[XY].$$

- Then this operation satisfies the axioms of an inner product:
 - (i) $X \cdot X = \mathbb{E}[X^2] \ge 0.$
 - (ii) $X \cdot X = 0$ iff X = 0 almost surely.
 - (iii) *linearity*: $(\alpha X + Y) \cdot Z = X \cdot Z + \alpha Y \cdot Z$.
- \bullet Therefore, L_2 is a normed vector space, with the norm $\|\cdot\|$ defined by

$$||X|| := \sqrt{X \cdot X} = \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[X^2]}.$$

• Similarly, we have $||X - Y||^2 := (X - Y) \cdot (X - Y) = E[(X - Y)^2].$

- Since L₂ is a normed space, we can define a new limit of random variables:
 Definition 1. We say that a sequence {X_k} converges in L₂ (or in MSE sense) to X if lim_{k→∞} ||X − X_k|| = 0.
- Note that $\lim_{k\to\infty} ||X X_k|| = 0$ iff $\lim_{k\to\infty} \mathbb{E}[|X X_k|^2] = 0$.
- **Definition:** We say that $H \subseteq L_2$ is a linear subspace if
 - (i) for any $X, Y \in H$, we have $X + Y \in H$, and
 - (ii) for any $X \in H$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $aX \in H$.
- **Definition:** We say that $H \subseteq L_2$ is closed if for any sequence $\{X_k\}$ with

$$\lim_{m,n \to \infty} \|X_m - X_n\|^2 = \lim_{m,n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}[|X_m - X_n|^2] = 0,$$

we have $\lim_{k\to\infty} X_k \xrightarrow{L_2} X$ for some random variable $X \in L_2$.

- Showing linear subspace is easy, but closedness might be hard.
- Important Cases:
 - 1. For random variables $X_1, \ldots, X_k \in L_2$, the set $H = \{\alpha_1 X_1 + \ldots + \alpha_k X_k \mid \alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is a closed linear subspace.
 - 2. For any random variables $X_1, \ldots, X_k \in L_2$, the set $H = \{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 X_1 + \ldots + \alpha_k X_k \mid \alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is a closed linear subspace.

Theorem 1. Let H be a closed linear subspace of L_2 and let $X \in L_2$. Then,

a. There exists a unique (up to almost sure equivalence) random variable $Y^* \in H$ such that

$$||Y^{\star} - X||^2 \le ||Z - X||^2$$
, for all $Z \in H$.

b. Let W be a random variable. $W = Y^*$ a.e. if and only if $W \in H$ and

$$\mathbb{E}[(X - W)Z] = 0, \quad for \ all \ Z \in H.$$

Note:

- Y^* is called the projection of X on the subspace H and is denoted by $\Pi_H(X)$.
- Two random variables X, Y are orthogonal, $X \perp Y$, if $\mathbb{E}[XY] = 0$.
- Relate MSE estimator with the above theorem.

• Let Y be a measurement of X and we want to find an estimate of X which is a linear function of Y minimizing the mean square error. The estimator is of the form: $\widehat{X}_{\text{LMSE}}(Y) = aY + b$. The goal is to find coefficients $a^*, b^* \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$||X - (a^*Y + b^*)|| \le ||X - (aY + b)||, \quad \text{for any } a, b \in \mathbb{R}.$$

- Let L(Y) := {Z | Z = aY + b, a, b ∈ ℝ} be the set of random variables that are linear functions of Y. One can show that L(Y) is a closed linear subspace.
- Then, $\widehat{X}_{\text{LMSE}}(Y) = \prod_{\mathcal{L}(Y)}(Y).$
- From orthogonality property, we know that $\mathbb{E}[(X \widehat{X}_{\text{LMSE}}(Y))Z] = 0$ for all $Z \in \mathcal{L}(Y)$.
- Show that the coefficients a^*, b^* satisfy

$$a^* = \frac{\mathsf{Cov}(X,Y)}{\mathsf{Var}(Y)}, \quad b^* = \mathbb{E}[X] - a^* \mathbb{E}[Y].$$

• Thus, the LMMSE estimate

$$\hat{X}(Y) := a^*Y + b^* = a^*(Y - \mathbb{E}[Y]) + \mathbb{E}[X] = \mathbb{E}[X] + \frac{\mathsf{Cov}(X,Y)}{\mathsf{Var}(Y)}(Y - \mathbb{E}[Y]).$$

- We can verify that $(X \hat{X}) \perp (\alpha Y + \beta)$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$.
- What is the mean square estimation error?

- Let $Y = [Y_1, \dots, Y_k]^\top$ be measurements available to us.
- We wish to determine $\widehat{X}_{\text{LMSE}}(Y) = a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k a_i Y_i = \prod_{\mathcal{L}(Y)}$.
- The goal is to find coefficients that minimize the mean square error

$$\min_{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_k} \mathbb{E}[(X - (a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k a_i Y_i))^2]$$

• Due to the orthogonality property, the LMMSE estimator satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}[(X - (a_0^* + \sum_{i=1}^k a_i^* Y_i))Z] = 0 \qquad \forall Z \in \mathcal{L}(Y).$$

• We need to cleverly choose k + 1 elements from $\mathcal{L}(Y)$ to set up a system of k + 1 linear equations and solve for the coefficients.

- Hint: Choose 1 and $Y_i \mathbb{E}[Y_i]$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$.
- If Z = 1, then orthogonality yields

$$\mathbb{E}[(X - (a_0^* + \sum_{i=1}^k a_i^* Y_i))] = 0.$$

• If $Z = Y_j - \mathbb{E}[Y_j]$, then orthogonality yields

$$\mathbb{E}[(X - (a_0^* + \sum_{i=1}^k a_i^* Y_i))(Y_j - \mathbb{E}[Y_j])] = 0.$$

• Finally, from the above analysis, we obtain

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_1^* \\ a_2^* \\ \vdots \\ a_k^* \end{bmatrix} = [\operatorname{Cov}(Y)]^{-1} \operatorname{Cov}(X, Y).$$

• The LMMSE is given by

$$\begin{split} \widehat{X}_{\text{LMSE}}(Y) &= a_0^* + \sum_{i=1}^k a_i^* Y_i \\ &= \mathbb{E}[X] + \sum_{i=1}^k a_i^* (Y_i - \mathbb{E}[Y_i]) \\ &= \mathbb{E}[X] + (a^*)^\top [Y - \mathbb{E}[Y]] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[X] + \operatorname{Cov}(X, Y)^\top [\operatorname{Cov}(Y)]^{-1} [Y - \mathbb{E}[Y]]. \end{split}$$

• When X is also a random vector
$$\begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \\ \vdots \\ X_n \end{bmatrix}$$
, the LMMSE is given by
$$\widehat{X}_{\text{LMSE}}(Y) = \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{X}_{1,\text{LMSE}}(Y) \\ \widehat{X}_{2,\text{LMSE}}(Y) \\ \vdots \\ \widehat{X}_{n,\text{LMSE}}(Y) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{E}[X_1] + \text{Cov}(X_1,Y)^\top [\text{Cov}(Y)]^{-1}[Y - \mathbb{E}[Y]] \\ \mathbb{E}[X_2] + \text{Cov}(X_2,Y)^\top [\text{Cov}(Y)]^{-1}[Y - \mathbb{E}[Y]] \\ \vdots \\ \mathbb{E}[X_n] + \text{Cov}(X_n,Y)^\top [\text{Cov}(Y)]^{-1}[Y - \mathbb{E}[Y]] \end{bmatrix}$$

X is a three-dimensional random vector with E[X] = 0 and autocorrelation matrix R_X with elements $r_{ij} = (-0.80)^{|i-j|}$. Use X_1 and X_2 to form a linear estimate of X_3 : $\hat{X}_3 = a_1X_1 + a_2X_2$, i.e., determine a_1 and a_2 that minimizes mean-square error.

MMSE and LMMSE Estimator Comparison

- An estimator $\widehat{X}(Y)$ is unbiased if $\mathbb{E}[\widehat{X}(Y)] = \mathbb{E}[X]$.
 - Is MMSE estimator unbiased?
 - Is LMMSE estimator unbiased?
- Among MMSE and LMMSE estimators, which one has smaller estimation error?
- If X and Y are uncorrelated, what does the LMMSE estimator give us? What about MMSE estimator?
- What do you need to know to determine MMSE and LMMSE estimators?
- What if Cov(Y) is not invertible?
- When X and Y are jointly Gaussian,

$$\widehat{X}_{\text{LMMSE}}(Y) = \widehat{X}_{\text{MMSE}}(Y)$$
$$\iff \mathbb{E}[X|Y] = \mathbb{E}[X] + \operatorname{Cov}(X,Y)^{\top}[\operatorname{Cov}(Y)]^{-1}[Y - \mathbb{E}[Y]].$$

Conditional expectation of X given Y is a linear function of Y.